

### MPI and Modern Network Hardware

Rich Graham

September 2017





# The views expressed here reflect my personal views, and not Mellanox's point of view

### What is MPI?



- Library interface for
  - Moving data
  - Operating on data that is moved
  - Supports commonly used data patterns
  - Provide ancillary services needed to support such capabilities
  - The "glue" of a parallel job
- User-level interface to control such data movement
- Not: programming model



# Challenges

#### Characteristics of a Good User-Level Communication API



- High-level and portable
- Can stand the test of time
- Easy to use, without having to know the details of an underlying hardware or software platform
- Interface objects are implementation neutral
- Provides the ability to pass information to and from the communication library

### Goals for a High Performance Communication Library Interface



- Performance
- Performance
- Performance
- Obtains good performance over a wide range of hardware configurations
- Obtains good performance for a wide range of user applications
- Is not aware of application context, unless some sort of hints are passed to it

### Characteristics of Emerging Hardware Systems



- Many communication end-points
- Heterogeneous architectures
- Computation can occur at the edges (CPU, Storage) and the interior (Network)
- Rich single process environment
  - Multiple compute engines
  - Large latency differences between memories (some memory may not even be directly addressable)
  - Different compute engines may have exclusive access to some memories (GPU memory, Scratch-Pad memory)

- With all of this need to allow an application to express their communication needs in a simple manner
- Want to be able to use these capabilities in an effective manner



## **Barriers**



# Computation on the Fly



#### Current states: opportunistic

- Collective communication provides a hook for a small number of such operations
  - In the switches
  - In the HCA
  - Network-level coordination
  - ?
- Simple objects can be mapped outside of host memory and opiated on via MPI API's
  - Atomic updates
- Split address and computational capabilities, such as GPUs
  - Challenge to express communication in a manner that reflects the "split" nature of the MPI process (or is this even the right way to think about it)
- Need to think of communication and computation as part of a single operation to be optimized
- Need to be able to express
  - Communication and work to do on this data



### Limited Context for Communication



#### Current state:

- Some ability to pass information to the library via
  - Info Objects
- No ability to express
  - Object durability, such as
    - One-use data type
    - Collective operations that are used very rarely
  - Capabilities to be used
    - Collective operations
  - Nature of an application
    - Highly unbalanced

#### Can we do better?

• Is persistence a good example?



# Data Path Opacity

### Data Buffers



- MPI\_ALLOC\_MEM(size, info, baseptr)
  - IN size size of memory segment in bytes (non-negative integer)
  - IN info info argument (handle)
  - OUT baseptr pointer to beginning of memory segment allocated
  - Issue: no output (opaque) meta-data to describe the region
- User created buffers (malloc, heap, mmap, ...)
  - Issue: no way to associate meta-data with these regions

### Point-to-point Send Function



- MPI\_ISEND(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm, request)
  - IN buf initial address of send buffer (choice)
  - IN count number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)
  - IN datatype datatype of each send buffer element (handle)
  - IN dest rank of destination (integer)
  - IN tag message tag (integer)
  - IN comm communicator (handle)
  - OUT request communication request (handle)
  - Issue: no way to pass in/out "buf" meta-data

### Collective Function



- MPI\_ALLREDUCE(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, comm)
  - IN sendbuf starting address of send buffer (choice)
  - OUT recvbuf starting address of receive buffer (choice)
  - IN count number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)
  - IN datatype data type of elements of send buffer (handle)
  - IN op operation (handle)
  - IN comm communicator (handle)

Issue: no way to pass in/out meta-data information on buffers

### Consequences



- Communication libraries do create meta-data for tracking communication
- Buffer meta-data is looked up for each access in the data path

#### Possible solutions:

- Rely on hardware-level On-Demand-Paging to setup memory for communication, if not ready (first access can be very expensive)
- Enhance interfaces to allow for opaque meta-data to be passed between MPI functions



# Limited Pattern Expresiveness

### **Communication Patterns**



#### Supported

- Point-to-point
- Collective

#### Missing

- Send: one to several (not full communicator)
- Send: several one-to-ones
- Receive: One/some of several
- Receive: Eureka
- ????



# Thank You

